

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,528	•	12/27/2001	Benjamin N. Eldridge	P6C3-US 2563	
50905	7590	01/06/2006		EXAMINER	
N. KENNE			KOBERT, RUSSELL MARC		
KIRTON & MCCONKIE P.O. BOX 45120				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		UT 84145-0120		2829	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/034,528	ELDRIDGE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Russell M. Kobert	2829	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatie - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory I - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 October 2005.		
· · ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	·	•	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 43,48,49,51-57,59-65 and 74-10 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 43,48,49,51-57,59-65 and 74-10 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration. 11 is/are rejected.	ition.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in a e priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	;
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1104 & 1005. 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

1. Applicants are hereby notified that the Letter titled "Notice of Improper Request For Continued Examination (RCE)" mailed on 3 November 2005 is hereby withdrawn.

All issues presented therein should be disregarded.

- 2. Further to the above, Applicants are hereby notified that the RCE filed 3 October 2005 has been re-entered.
- 3. The details of the prior Office Action mailed on December 27, 2001 are reiterated as follows in response to the RCE filed October 3, 2005.
- 4. Applicant's arguments, see page 11, lines 10-14, that asserts the subject matter of each of the claims is expressly directed to "a *tested* semiconductor device," and "not a probe card assembly or a combination of a probe card assembly and a tested semiconductor device", filed November 12, 2004, with respect to the rejection under 35 USC 112 has been fully considered and is persuasive. Moreover Applicant's arguments, see page 12, lines 8-14, that asserts the "product -- that is, the *tested* semiconductor device -- patentably differs from prior art semiconductor devices," filed November 12, 2004, with respect to the rejection under 35 USC 112 has been fully considered and is persuasive. Additionally, Applicant's arguments, see page 11, lines 15-22, that asserts "Applicants are *not attempting* to do what is forbidden Ex parte Lyell, 17 USPQ 1548 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990), which was cited in the Office Action" and further states "the preambles of the claims in the present application are expressly

Application/Control Number: 10/034,528 Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

withdrawn.

directed only to a 'tested semiconductor device'," filed November 12, 2004, with respect to the rejection under 35 USC 112 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under 35 USC 112 of claims 43, 48, 49, 51-57, 59-65, 68 and 74-83 has been

In summary, Applicants' claimed invention is limited to only a tested semiconductor device and nothing more than a tested semiconductor device; no patentable weight being given to the process of producing the test semiconductor device and no patentable weight given to a probe card assembly including any details of the probe card assembly in view of Applicants' own admission in the Amendment filed November 12, 2004.

- 5. Applicant's most recent arguments with respect to claims 43, 48, 49, 51-57, 59-65 and 74-101 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 43, 48, 49, 51-57, 59-65 and 74-101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Littlebury (5012187).

Application/Control Number: 10/034,528 Page 4

Art Unit: 2829

Littlebury anticipates (Figure 2) a tested semiconductor device (semiconductor chips 12 tested in wafer form and reference made to processing memory chips 12 <u>after</u> testing; see col 2, ln 26-29 and col 4, ln 40-63) produced by providing a wafer (col 4, ln 11-16; Littlebury states the preferred embodiment being in wafer form) having a plurality of semiconductor devices (12) thereon, each of the semiconductor devices including a plurality of electrical contact terminals (13) as recited in claims 43 and 82.

As to claim 48, dicing the wafer to singulate the semiconductor devices is anticipated by Littlebury (col 4, ln 11-18).

Littlebury anticipates the limitations of claims 49, 51-57, 59-65 and 74-81 and 83-101, because the additional limitations presented in each of claims 49, 51-57, 59-65 and 74-81 and 83-101 do not add patentable weight to the claimed invention and do not further narrow the scope of Applicants' claimed invention that is directed solely to "a tested semiconductor device."

8. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s) from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell Kobert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1963. For an automated menu of Tech Center 2800 phone numbers call (571) 272-2800.

Russell M. Kobert

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2829

January 3, 2006